



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/895,424	06/29/2001	Don A. Andrews	010134	8968	
	09/16/2004		EXAMINER		
Qualcomm Incorporated Patents Department			LIN, WEN TAI		
5775 Morehouse Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Diego, CA 92121-1714			2154		
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2004	ļ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	·		T	——   N / A			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	V/			
Office Action County		09/895,424	ANDREWS ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Wen-Tai Lin	2154				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	is			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 June 2001 and 25 November 2002</u> .						
		action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-29 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers	;					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 16 January 2002 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 11/25/02	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal & 6)  Other:	(PTO-413) Patent Application (PTO-152	Jan L. 9/11/04			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/895,424 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-29 are presented for examination.
- 2. The drawings filed on 1/16/2002 are objected to because Fig.4A is inconsistent with the description at page 8, lines 12-18 of the specification, wherein numerals 412, 413 and 416 are missing from the correspondent figure (i.e., Fig.4A). It is noted that Applicant's specification appears to be based on the drawing set filed on 6/29/2001, which was updated to the current drawing version due to oversize of some of the figures. It is instrumental, however, that the current description texts (e.g., page 8, line 3 page 10, line 7) must be consistent with the drawings (e.g., Figs. 4A- 4B) so as to enable the claimed subject matter.
- 3. The specification is objected to because the phrase "the Session Management Protocol (SMP)" and "the failed protocol configuration indication" at page 3, lines 9-10 appear to lack antecedent basis. Furthermore, the word "It" at page 3, line 10 appears to be a typo of "If".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Page 3

- a. The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-29 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. As to claims 1-29, it is not clear what is meant by "protocol" because the specification and the claim languages have been doubly referring the same word to two different things. On one hand, Applicant seems to have adopted the conventional definition of protocol, which has been typically perceived as a set of conventions or rules that govern the format and relative timing of message exchange between two communication terminals [see page 882 of "The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms", 7th Edition]. For example, at page 4, lines 24-25, Applicant uses the protocol in a conventional sense in the sentence "It stores protocols ...", but at page 4, line 32, "When at least one protocol in the AT transmits a request message ..." the protocol seems to possess execution capability that it is able to proactively transmit a request message. Although Applicant does set aside a group of "protocols" that are able to perform some function of the access terminal and referring it as "answering protocol" (see page 2, lines 21-24), it is rather confusing, however, by using the single word "protocol" for potentially two different meanings. For example, claim 25, lines 8-9 requiring "receiving all notice from all protocols within the access terminal" would necessarily require a conventional protocol (e.g., any protocol in the protocol stack) to

Application/Control Number: 09/895,424

Art Unit: 2154

also act as an "answering protocol", unless Applicant assures that there is no such protocol existed in the access terminal.

It is noted that the aforementioned examples are not exhaustive. Applicant is reminded to correct all incidences, including the specification and the claim languages, where the word "protocol" is not precisely defined, as required by U.S.C. 112 second paragraph.

7. As to claims 1-16, it is not clear what is meant by: (i) initializing a session configuration protocol (claim 1, line 6) and (ii) initializing at least one other protocol (claim 1, line 14).

Specifically, the word "protocol" is being typically perceived as a set of conventions or rules that govern the format and relative timing of message exchange between two communication terminals [see page 882 of "The Authoritative Dictionary of IEEE Standards Terms", 7<sup>th</sup> Edition]. Note that Applicant appears to also adopt this conventional definition in the specification as well as part of the claim languages when it comes to phrases such as "network protocol stack" (see claim 1, line 4). Based on this definition, it makes no sense to initialize a protocol (i.e., a set of conventions or rules) because any change from that set would result in another protocol. Although one may interpret the word "protocol" in (i) and (ii) as a parameter or some entity that possesses execution capability, however it would then allows two different definitions for the same word in the same claim language, which would ultimately render the claims indefinite.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,424

Art Unit: 2154

Alternatively, Applicant might argue that (1) the term "session configuration protocol" is meant to be "Session Configuration Protocol (SCP)" as derived from page 1, lines 25-26 of the specification and that the phrase "initializing a session configuration protocol" is congruent with step 305 of Figure 3; and (2) the phrase "initializing at least one other protocol" is meant to be initializing a non-SCP protocol, which is congruent with step 330 of Figure 3. In this scenario, it is found that steps (b) – (d) of claim 1 is redundant to step (a) of claim 1 because Applicant indicated in the specification that the initialization step 305 comprises steps 310, 315, 320 and 325 (see page 6, lines 10-13), wherein steps 310, 315, 320 and 325 are equivalent to steps (b) – (d) of claim 1.

Correction/Clarification is required in response to this office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wen-Tai Lin whose telephone number is (703)305-4875. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00)

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee can be reached on (703)305-8498. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)872-9306 for official communications; and (703)746-5516 for status inquires draft communication.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,424

Art Unit: 2154

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

Wen-Tai Lin

September 15, 2004

aler Jan J. 9/15/04